

ARTICLE

Received 19 Dec 2013 | Accepted 2 Jan 2015 | Published 4 Feb 2015

DOI: 10.1038/ncomms7189

Formation of lunar swirls by magnetic field standoff of the solar wind

Timothy D. Glotch¹, Joshua L. Bandfield², Paul G. Lucey³, Paul O. Hayne⁴, Benjamin T. Greenhagen⁴, Jessica A. Arnold¹, Rebecca R. Ghent⁵ & David A. Paige⁶

Lunar swirls are high-albedo markings on the Moon that occur in both mare and highland terrains; their origin remains a point of contention. Here, we use data from the Lunar Reconnaissance Orbiter Diviner Lunar Radiometer to support the hypothesis that the swirls are formed as a result of deflection of the solar wind by local magnetic fields. Thermal infrared data from this instrument display an anomaly in the position of the silicate Christiansen Feature consistent with reduced space weathering. These data also show that swirl regions are not thermophysically anomalous, which strongly constrains their formation mechanism. The results of this study indicate that either solar wind sputtering and implantation are more important than micrometeoroid bombardment in the space-weathering process, or that micrometeoroid bombardment is a necessary but not sufficient process in space weathering, which occurs on airless bodies throughout the solar system.

NATURE COMMUNICATIONS | 6:6189 | DOI: 10.1038/ncomms7189 | www.nature.com/naturecommunications

¹ Department of Geosciences, Stony Brook University, Stony Brook, New York 11794-2100, USA. ² Space Science Institute, 4750 Walnut St #205, Boulder, Colorado 80301, USA. ³ Hawaii Institute of Geophysics and Planetology, University of Hawaii, Honolulu, Hawaii 96822, USA. ⁴ Jet Propulsion Laboratory, M/S 183-301, 4800 Oak Grove Drive, Pasadena, California 91109, USA. ⁵ Department of Earth Sciences, University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario, Canada MSS 3B1. ⁶ University of California Los Angeles, Box 951567, Los Angeles, California 90095-1567, USA. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to T.D.G. (email: timothy.glotch@stonybrook.edu).

unar swirls have been documented since the Apollo era¹, and since that time, a variety of swirl morphologies has been identified, ranging from the complex structures present in the Reiner Gamma formation, Mare Ingenii and Mare Marginis swirls, to simple bright patches with diffuse edges, as at Descartes. Intermediate morphologies occur near Airy and Gerasimovich craters². Several swirl formation mechanisms have been proposed, including (1) solar wind standoff due to the presence of local magnetic fields, preventing solar wind sputtering and implantation, nanophase iron formation, and the resulting surface darkening associated with space weathering³, (2) recent comet impacts or micrometeoroid swarms that scoured the lunar surface, leaving a fine-gained, unweathered material and possibly imparting a remnant magnetization⁴⁻⁶, and (3) electrostatic levitation and deposition of high-albedo, fine-grained, feldsparenriched dust⁷. The association of all swirls with magnetic field anomalies of varying strength⁸⁻¹⁰ has driven the development of each of these hypotheses. In this work, we use the unique midinfrared data set from the Diviner Lunar Radiometer to distinguish between these hypotheses. We show that Diviner data support the solar wind standoff model for lunar swirl formation and disgualify the impact swarm and dust transport hypotheses.

Previous near-infrared observations of lunar swirls show them to be optically immature compared with the surrounding terrains¹⁰. Space weathering leads to optical maturation of the surfaces of airless bodies and is thought to be caused by two main processes: (1) solar wind sputtering and/or implantation of hydrogen atoms, leading to the formation of nanophase metallic iron blebs and (2) micrometeoroid bombardment that leads to the formation of agglutinitic glass and a reduced vapourdeposited coating¹¹. Under the solar wind standoff model, horizontal magnetic fields at the lunar swirl sites deflect the solar wind, preventing most sputtering and implantation of solar wind ions^{12,13}. If this is the case, micrometeoroid bombardment should be the major relevant space-weathering process¹⁴ at swirl sites. A relative lack of solar wind interaction with the lunar surface at swirl sites is supported by recent observations from the Moon Mineralogy Mapper (M³) instrument that confirm the Clementine observations of a substantial reduction in optical maturity and also show a reduction of the presence of surface hydroxyls at the swirl sites¹⁵. Recent observations in the ultraviolet by the Lunar Reconnaissance Orbiter Camera Wide Angle Camera subsystem also suggest that micrometeoroid bombardment, and not solar wind interaction, plays the dominant role in space weathering at the lunar swirls¹⁶.

Diviner has three narrow band infrared channels near 8 μ m, from which the silicate Christiansen Feature (CF) can be calculated¹⁷. The position of the CF is related to the degree of mineral silicate polymerization and provides an indicator of composition¹⁸. However, analysis of the global observations by Diviner has revealed that the CF position is also influenced by optical maturity. Optically mature surfaces are shifted to longer wavelengths by ~ 0.1 μ m (or about ~ 20% of the full range of lunar CF values that have been measured) compared with immature surfaces with the same composition¹⁹. A global CF map¹⁹ shows substantial anomalies related to young, fresh craters such as Tycho and Jackson, which have CF positions at shorter wavelengths than the surrounding mature terrain.

Here we find that Diviner data show the CF anomalies are due to abnormal space weathering at both the mare and highland swirl sites. This result, in addition to the relative lack of thermophysical anomalies at the swirl sites, strongly supports the solar wind standoff model and disqualifies the micrometeoroid/ comet swarm and dust levitation models for swirl formation. Diviner data can be used to determine the composition and degree of space weathering of the lunar surface^{17,19,20} and to determine thermophysical properties using daytime and night-time thermal infrared measurements^{21,22}. The ability to characterize both the compositional and thermophysical properties of the lunar regolith make Diviner well suited to examine the swirls and differentiate between the three proposed formation mechanisms.

Results

Diviner CF anomaly at swirl sites. We analysed Diviner data covering 12 swirl regions including both the mare and highlands sites (Figs 1 and 2; Table 1, Supplementary Figs 1-10). At both the Reiner Gamma and Van de Graaff crater sites, the distributions of CF values on and off the swirls are clearly separated. Garrick-Bethell *et al.*⁷ demonstrated that only a small portion of the Reiner Gamma 'dark lanes' (small curvilinear low-albedo regions that occur between some high-albedo swirls) are truly darker than the surrounding terrain at visible wavelengths. We found that the CF distribution of this portion of the dark lanes within Reiner Gamma is nearly identical to the off-swirl distributions (Fig. 1). The off-swirl locations were defined to be well outside the visible light albedo boundaries of the swirls identified in Lunar Reconnaissance Orbiter Camera wide angle camera or Clementine data. The average off-swirl CF values at Reiner Gamma (8.31 µm) and Van de Graaff (8.20 µm) are close to the previously determined global average mare and highland CF values¹⁷. The average on-swirl values for Reiner Gamma and Van de Graaff are shifted to shorter wavelengths by 0.08 and 0.09 µm, respectively. In addition, three-point Diviner spectra acquired both on and off the swirls at both the sites show clear differences in the spectral shape, which is reflected in the differences in modelled CF values for these sites. Swirl spectra were acquired from the regions outlined by boxes in Fig. 1 and the average spectra and resulting modelled CF values are shown in Fig. 2.

The low CF position values of each of the swirls are clearly associated with lower optical maturity compared with the surrounding terrains (Table 1). Figure 3 shows the relationship between CF position and the optical maturity parameter (OMAT)²³ derived from Clementine multispectral data at Reiner Gamma. Compared with the scene average, swirl pixels have both lower overall CF and higher OMAT (lower maturity) values.

At Reiner Gamma, Airy, Firsov and Rima Sirsalis, the complex swirls have easily identifiable dark lanes between the high-albedo regions. At Airy, the background terrain albedo varies substantially, so it is difficult to determine if the dark lanes are, in fact, lower albedo than the surrounding terrain. However, at Firsov and Rima Sirsalis, the dark lanes (Fig. 4a,b) have demonstrably lower visible albedos than the surrounding terrain. In Fig. 4c,d, we plot the Clementine 950-nm/750-nm reflectance ratio against the 750-nm ratio for regions on the swirl, in the dark lanes, and on the local surrounding terrain. The dark lanes at these sites are the darkest sampled regions in the scene. At these sites, the averages and distributions of the CF values just off the swirls and within the dark lanes of each swirl are within 0.01 μ m, and dark lane OMAT values are nearly identical to the surrounding terrain (Table 1). These data are consistent with the hypothesis that magnetic field lines over the dark lanes have a vertical orientation, allowing the solar wind to interact with the surface²⁴. It has previously been suggested that the dark lanes and low-albedo offswirl regions associated with some swirls result from an increased rate of space weathering as the charged solar wind particles deflected by local magnetic fields are funneled to these regions¹⁴. However, maturity enhancements in the dark lanes are not



Figure 1 | **Diviner CF position data for the Reiner Gamma and Van de Graaff crater swirls.** (a) Wide Angle Camera (WAC) base map for Reiner Gamma. Black boxes indicate the regions used for surface roughness and thermal model analysis in Figs 5 and 8. Scale bar, 50 km. (b) WAC base map for Van de Graaff crater. Scale bar, 20 km. (c) CF position map of the Reiner Gamma swirl stretched from 8.15 to 8.45 µm overlayed on Lunar Reconnaissance Orbiter Camera (LROC) WAC mosaic. (d) CF position map of the Van de Graaff crater swirls stretched from 8.0 to 8.4 µm overlayed on LROC WAC mosaic. (e) Normalized histogram of CF values on the Reiner Gamma swirl (black boxes in c), off the swirl (white box in 1c), and in the dark lanes (yellow boxes in c). (f) Normalized histogram of CF values on the Van de Graaff crater swirls (black box in b) and off the swirl (white box in 1b).

detected in Diviner data. Rather, the nearly identical CF distributions within the dark lanes and the local terrains near the swirls (for example, Fig. 1) suggest that the main effects of space weathering at mid-infrared wavelengths (shift in CF position to longer wavelengths) reach a maximum early in the space-weathering process and are not enhanced on increased flux of solar wind particles.

The dust levitation model of lunar swirl formation⁷ suggests that the spectral character of the swirls should be affected by a minor addition of feldspar, which is slightly enriched in the finest size fraction of the lunar soil²⁵. Detailed analyses of the modal abundances of major minerals in sieved fractions of lunar soils show that feldspar is typically enriched by $\sim 2-4$ wt. % compared with coarser size fractions²⁶. Therefore, the dust levitation model suggests an enrichment of feldspar in the optically active surface

layer of mare swirls by a comparable amount, assuming that all of the levitated dust is $< 10 \,\mu$ m in diameter. Laboratory spectroscopic measurements of olivine-feldspar mixtures in a simulated lunar environment²⁷ (Fig. 5) show that $\sim 16 \,\text{wt.\%}$ feldspar would need to be added to account for a typical mare swirl CF shift from ~ 8.3 to $\sim 8.2 \,\mu$ m. At the highlands sites, $\sim 20 \,\text{wt.\%}$ feldspar would need to be added to the swirl sites to account for a CF shift from $\sim 8.2 \,\mu$ m. At the highlands mixtures, the laboratory data do suggest that the required feldspar contributions to the swirl CF shifts are $\sim 5 \,\text{to } 10$ times higher than the levels predicted by the dust levitation model. Swirls in both the highlands and mare consistently show CF shifts of $\sim 0.04 \,\text{to } 0.09 \,\mu$ m to shorter wavelengths. Rather than an addition of a small amount of feldspar, this shift is comparable

ARTICLE

to CF shifts attributed to the optically immature surfaces. The Diviner data, therefore, suggest that a layer of fine particulate feldspar-enriched material is not responsible for the lunar swirl albedo anomalies and spectral properties. This interpretation supports visible and near-infrared measurements from the M^3 instrument¹⁵.



Figure 2 | Average Diviner spectra. Spectra are averaged using data from the white and black boxes outlined in Fig. 1. (a) Reiner Gamma swirl.
(b) Van de Graaff crater swirl. Black spectra are average on-swirl spectra. Red spectra are average off-swirl spectra from the boxes outlined in Fig. 1. The parabolic traces represent the fitting function used to determine the CF position (stars) from the spectra.

Surface roughness at swirl sites. In addition to compositional analysis, we can use the daytime Diviner data to address the physical state of the lunar surface. We employ a roughness model²⁸ (Fig. 6) and Diviner daytime measurements in channels 3 and 6 (12.5-25 um) to determine the spectral aniosthermality caused by surface roughness at Reiner Gamma. Surface roughness varies with length scale, and becomes greater with decreasing scale²⁹. Previous lunar surface roughness estimates have included Lunar Orbiter Laser Altimeter data at metre to decametre scales³⁰, visible photometric studies typically at sub-mm scales^{31,32} and additional sub-mm surface roughness estimates from *in situ* high-resolution imagery²⁹. At sub-mm to cm scales, typical lunar surface roughness values, defined as a root mean square (RMS) slope are 16-25° (ref. 29). Previous thermal infrared observations of the Moon and Mars have shown substantial effects due to surface roughness at \sim cm scales^{33–37}. Diviner data offer the ability to characterize cm-scale surface roughness at the high spatial resolution (128-256 ppd (pixels per degree)) typical of the instrument. Figure 6 shows the modelled change in the channel 3-channel 6 brightness temperature differences (ΔBT) on and off the Reiner Gamma swirl as a function of time of day for different RMS surface slope along with ΔBT data from 6 am to 6 pm local time. Within a standard



Figure 3 | Comparison of CF position and OMAT maturity index for Reiner Gamma. On-swirl pixels (taken from the regions outlined by white boxes in Fig. 1) clearly have lower CF and higher OMAT (lower maturity) values than the median scene.

Swirl	Centre Location (lon., lat.)	On-swirl CF (μm)	Off-swirl CF (μm)	Dark lane CF (μm)	On-swirl OMAT	Off-swirl OMAT	Dark lane OMAT
Airy	3.5E, - 18.5N	8.12 ± 0.02	8.16 ± 0.03	8.15 ± 0.03	0.30 ± 0.02	0.25 ± 0.03	0.26 ± 0.01
Descartes	15.9E, -10.6N	8.09 ± 0.01	8.18 ± 0.01	NA	0.31 ± 0.03	0.22 ± 0.01	NA
Firsov	113.5E, 0.5N	8.11 ± 0.02	8.19 ± 0.01	8.18 ± 0.02	0.31 ± 0.02	0.24 ± 0.01	0.24 ± 0.01
Gerasimovich	236.7E, - 22.9N	8.09 ± 0.01	8.17 ± 0.01	NA	0.31 ± 0.02	0.22 ± 0.01	NA
Hopmann	159.4E, - 50.8N	8.18 ± 0.01	8.23 ± 0.02	NA	0.30 ± 0.02	0.25 ± 0.01	NA
Ingenii mare	163.0E, - 35.9N	8.25 ± 0.02	8.30 ± 0.03	NA	0.28 ± 0.02	0.22 ± 0.01	NA
Marginis highlands	96.0E, 20.4N	8.12 ± 0.02	8.20 ± 0.02	NA	0.26 ± 0.02	0.22 ± 0.01	NA
Marginis mare	84.9E, 13.4N	8.25 ± 0.01	8.31 ± 0.02	NA	0.22 ± 0.02	0.19 ± 0.01	NA
Moscoviense	148.9E, 25.4N	8.26 ± 0.02	8.30 ± 0.03	NA	0.21 ± 0.01	0.19 ± 0.01	NA
Reiner Gamma	301.0E, 7.4N	8.23 ± 0.02	8.31±0.03	8.31 ± 0.03	0.35 ± 0.02	0.24 ± 0.01	0.26 ± 0.01
Rima Sirsalis	306.0E, - 7.2N	8.29 ± 0.01	8.31 ± 0.01	8.31 ± 0.01	0.23 ± 0.01	0.22 ± 0.02	0.21 ± 0.01
Van de Graaff	171.1E, - 27.4N	8.11 ± 0.01	8.20 ± 0.02	NA	0.30 ± 0.02	0.23 ± 0.01	NA

CF, Christiansen feature; E, East; lat, latitude; lon, longitude; N, North; NA, not available; OMAT, optical maturity



Figure 4 | Clementine albedo variations associated with swirl dark lanes. Dark lanes at Rima Sirsalis and Firsov crater have lower visible albedos than surrounding terrain. (a) Rima Sirsalis 750-nm reflectance. Scale bar, 25 km. (b) Firsov crater 750-nm reflectance. Scale bar, 25 km. (c) Rima Sirsalis 950/750-nm reflectance ratio versus 750-nm reflectance. Coloured points correspond to the coloured boxes in a. Black dots correspond to the white dotted box in a. (d) Firsov crater 950/750-nm reflectance ratio versus 750-nm reflectance. Coloured points correspond to the coloured boxes in b.



Figure 5 | CF positions of olivine-plagioclase mixture spectra acquired in a simulated lunar environment. Regression of the data using a degree 3 polynomial shows that to go from a CF of 8.3 to 8.2 μ m, ~16 wt.% additional feldspar needs to be added to the mixture. To go from 8.2 to 8.1 μ m, ~20 wt. % additional feldspar must be added.

deviation of the temperature measurements, Diviner data indicate nearly identical surface roughnesses of ~ $25-30^{\circ}$ both on and off the swirl (bold curves in Fig. 6). This surface roughness reflects the finely structured lunar regolith at cm scales and places tight constraints on geologic processes related to the formation of the swirls. That is, the viability of the dust levitation and comet swarm impact models hinges on the ability to demonstrate that the roughness characteristics of the swirls would not be altered by (1) piling of fine-grained dust, resulting in smoother surfaces at



Figure 6 | Reiner Gamma roughness model. Modelled brightness temperature deviations between the channels 3 and 6 as function of the local time are plotted for RMS surface slopes varying in 5° increments from 10° (bottom line) to 40° (top line). Measured data from the Reiner Gamma swirl are displayed as magenta circles. Data from a nearby off-swirl surface are displayed as blue squares. Error bars are 1 s.d. Curves representing 25-30° roughness are bold. Diviner data from Reiner Gamma swirl indicate surface roughness in this range.

cm scales or (2) regolith disturbance and impact scouring resulting in a layer of fine particulates at cm scales.

Thermophysical characteristics of swirls. Diviner night-time data and thermal models can also help to differentiate between

© 2015 Macmillan Publishers Limited. All rights reserved.

the competing formation mechanisms for the lunar swirls. Cooling of the lunar surface throughout the night provides information on the physical nature and degree of processing of the lunar regolith, including rock abundance and vertical structure and particle size of the regolith fines^{21,22}. We constructed 128-ppd night-time temperature maps using Diviner channel 8 (50-100 µm) brightness temperatures acquired between local times of 19:30 and 05:30 (Fig. 7). Reported values are positive or negative deviations from the scene average normalized for local time variations. On-swirl and off-swirl temperature averages were determined using the same regions of interest shown in the boxes in Fig. 1. The average normalized temperature on the sampled portion of the Reiner Gamma swirl is -0.8 ± 1.5 K as opposed to the average off-swirl temperature of -0.3 ± 1.8 K (1- σ s.d.). The sampled portion of the swirl, on average, is only ~ 0.5 K colder than the off-swirl surface, but it is visible in the stretched nighttime temperature image (white arrows in Fig. 7a). At the Van de Graaff crater site, the average on-swirl normalized night-time temperature is 0.4 K, while the off-swirl average is 0.0 K.

The measured temperature differences, though small, can be tied directly to the physical properties of the swirl surfaces. To do this, we use a thermal model to constrain the differences in regolith properties between the swirl and non-swirl surfaces. This standard lunar regolith model^{22,38} uses Diviner night-time temperature data to constrain the upper regolith density profile. Using the on- and off-swirl surfaces delineated in Fig. 1a, and the Clementine 750-nm albedos of these regions, we show that the temperature difference between the on- and off-swirl surfaces can be accounted for completely by the albedo difference between the swirls (Fig. 8). In addition, we added a 2-mm low thermal inertia $(\sim 30 \text{ Jm}^{-2} \text{ K}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1/2}, \sim 50\%$ of the standard model) layer on top of the standard swirl model. Such a low thermal inertia layer would be expected from the admixture of additional fine particulates with typical lunar regolith³⁹. It is possible, however, that this model does not capture the effects of the minor admixtures of fine particulates with the bulk lunar regolith. Nevertheless, our results show that even this 2-mm thick layer would produce night-time temperatures much lower than those that are observed. Put another way, the swirls are surficial features that, thermophysically, are nearly indistinguishable from the surrounding terrain. The surficial nature of the swirls as determined by Diviner is consistent with Mini-RF radar observations of these features⁴⁰.

The lunar regolith has been highly processed by impact gardening that produces a delicate vertical structure with unique thermophysical properties. Any deviation from this structure shows clear night-time temperature differences that are easily identified in Diviner data^{21,22,28} and are absent from the swirl sites. For example, recent impacts by swarms of meteors or comets,^{4–6} although they might not produce a 2-mm thick low thermal inertia layer as modelled in Fig. 8, would almost certainly disturb the regolith vertical structure and lead to clearly observable temperature and roughness phenomena in the Diviner data.



Figure 8 | Reiner Gamma thermal model. Diviner night-time temperature observations on (blue dots) and off (black dots) the Reiner Gamma swirl are plotted and compared with two thermal models. Error bars indicate standard 1 s.d. in temperature measurements within the spatially binned measurement regions (Fig. 1a). The black solid line and blue dashed line show the predicted temperatures using the standard regolith model^{21,37}. Predicted night-time temperatures for a 2-mm thick low thermal inertia layer on top of the standard swirl thermal model (green dotted line) are too low to explain temperatures observed by Diviner.



Figure 7 | Diviner night-time temperature data for the Reiner Gamma and Van de Graaff crater swirls. Area of each image is same as Wide Angle Camera base maps in Fig. 1a,b. (a) Average normalized night-time temperature for Reiner Gamma, stretched from -3.6 to $7.0 \Delta K$. Arrows show the colder temperatures associated with the swirl. (b) Average normalized night-time temperature for Van de Graaff crater, stretched from -7.0 to $15 \Delta K$.

Discussion

Previous spectroscopic observations of the swirls at visible and near-infrared wavelengths have been used to argue for the solar wind standoff model^{3,14,24}, the micrometeoroid/comet swarm model⁴⁻⁶ or the feldspathic dust pile model. More recently, M³ spectra have been used to propose a hybrid model for swirl formation that invokes both regolith disturbance and dust lofting⁴¹. The mid-infrared Diviner data presented here place tight constraints on the swirl formation process and must be accounted for by any proposed swirl formation mechanism. The proposed feldspathic dust lofting⁷, meteoroid/comet swarm⁴⁻⁶ and hybrid⁴¹ swirl formation mechanisms would likely result in thermophysical and spectral properties that are inconsistent with the Diviner measurements. The proposed dust lofting and hybrid processes cannot account for the observed CF shift in Diviner data while the meteoroid/comet swarm and hybrid processes cause regolith disturbance that would result in a substantial thermal anomaly in the night-time Diviner data. On the other hand, the solar wind standoff mechanism for swirl formation would lead to a slight shift of the CF to shorter wavelengths compared with the surrounding regolith due to a reduction in space weathering, but show no difference in the unique lunar regolith thermophysical properties because impact gardening is otherwise unaffected. These properties are exactly what are observed, and the Diviner observations, in addition to M³ and Mini-RF^{14,15,40} observations and laboratory experiments⁴², strongly support the solar wind standoff hypothesis for lunar swirl formation. Because they are clearly associated with magnetic field anomalies, lunar swirls present an opportunity to study and isolate the effects of solar wind sputtering/implantation and micrometeoroid bombardment processes on optical maturity. The immature nature of the swirls suggests that solar wind sputtering and implantation, which is prevented by local magnetic fields at the swirl sites, is the primary process for space weathering and optical maturation of airless body surfaces that do not have a global magnetic field, while micrometeoroid bombardment and resulting vapour deposition plays a subordinate role. The results of this study should motivate additional space-weathering experiments and work on the mature lunar regolith samples to test this hypothesis.

Methods

Diviner CF calculations and average spectra. The Diviner Lunar Radiometer Experiment on board the Lunar Reconnaissance Orbiter is an infrared radiometer with two solar reflectance channels and seven channels in the thermal infrared wavelength range, including three narrow band channels that span the wavelength ranges 7.55–8.05 μ m, 8.10–8.40 μ m and 8.38–8.68 μ m. These '8 μ m' channels can be used to calculate the position of the silicate CF¹⁷ that is directly sensitive to the degree of silica tetrahedral polymerization and bulk SiO₂ content¹⁸. By viewing lunar surface targets multiple times under different viewing conditions, the precision of individual Diviner CF values, which are known to be systematically affected by illumination and viewing geometry, are estimated at <0.02 μ m (refs 17,43).

For this study, we used data collected between 8:30 and 16:30 local solar time, with emission angles $<5^{\circ}$ from nadir. These restricted ranges minimize the effects of the observation conditions on Diviner CF estimates. All data used in the current study were reduced using the most recent available corrections⁴⁴.

CF values were modelled following the methods of Greenhagen *et al.*¹⁷ In brief, the shapes of the CFs for the regolith surfaces of airless bodies can be approximated as parabolas. Each three-point Diviner spectrum is fit as a parabola by solving the following system of three equations to find the wavelength of the parabola maximum, λ_{Max} .

$$y_3 = A\lambda_3^2 + B\lambda_3 + C \tag{1}$$

$$y_4 = A\lambda_4^2 + B\lambda_4 + C \tag{2}$$

 $y_5 = A\lambda_5^2 + B\lambda_5 + C \tag{3}$

$$\lambda_{\text{Max}} = -B/(2A)$$

In these equations, y_3 , y_4 and y_5 are the emissivities for Diviner channels 3, 4 and 5, respectively, and A, B and C are constants. The calculated CF value is λ_{Max} .

To generate the representative three-point spectra, we averaged groups of 7,897 and 8,181 Diviner spectra to produce representative on-swirl and off-swirl spectra, respectively, at Reiner Gamma and model the CFs for these regions. At the Van de Graaff crater site, we averaged 1,736 spectra each for the on-swirl and off-swirl regions.

Thermal model. The one-dimensional thermal model employs standard forward difference approximations for spatial and temporal derivatives and incorporates important constraints and improvements based on fits to the Diviner data^{22,38}. Most important of these are depth-dependent and temperature-dependent thermal conductivity, as well as spatially variable regolith porosity and rock abundance. Model layer thicknesses are typically <1 mm, and the bottom boundary at 2 m is well below the ~10 cm skin depth of the diurnal thermal wave. We allow the model to fully equilibrate over at least 10 years before reporting the results.

Roughness model. The effects of roughness on thermal emission are simulated using a modified statistical shadowing model similar to several that have previously been described in the literature^{29,36,37}. Using this model, we predicted the surface temperatures for slopes from 0 to 90° and azimuth orientations from 0 to 360°. We then convolved each calculated temperature with its expected fractional surface area for the given RMS slope, based on the assumed Gaussian height distribution³⁰. Infrared emission at the given measurement wavelength is then calculated as a weighted sum of the contributions of all the surface elements (both illuminated and shadowed) within the field of view²⁸. The model also incorporates a shadow approximation^{31,33} that accounts for the statistical likelihood of a surface to be within a shadow cast by another surface.

Night-time data. Night-time (19:30 to 05:30 local time) temperature maps were constructed from the Diviner Level 2 Gridded Data Products available at the Planetary Data System. A total of 46 separate Diviner channel 8 (50–100 μm) brightness temperature maps were assembled from a single Lunar Reconnaissance Orbiter mapping cycle collected over the course of a lunar day. The average brightness temperature was subtracted from each map to normalize the temperature for the local time variations. The normalized maps were averaged to produce the final temperature deviation maps. This methodology ensures that any temperature differences between on swirl and off swirl are preserved.

References

- 1. El-Baz, F. The Alhazen to Abdul Wafa Swirl Belt: an extensive field of lightcolored sinuous markings. *NASA Spec. Pub.* **315**, 29–93 (1972).
- Blewett, D. T., Hawke, B. R. & Richmond, N. C. A magnetic anomaly associated with an albedo feature near Airy crater in the lunar nearside highlands. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 34, L24206 (2007).
- Hood, L. L. & Schubert, G. Lunar magnetic anomalies and surface optical properties. Science 208, 49–51 (1980).
- Schultz, P. H. & Srnka, L. J. Cometary collisions on the Moon and Mercury. Nature 284, 22–26 (1980).
- Pinet, P. C., Shevchenko, V. V., Chevrel, S. D., Daydou, Y. & Rosemberg, C. Local and regional lunar regolith characteristics at Reiner Gamma formation: optical and spectroscopic properties from Clementine and Earth-based data. *J. Geophys. Res.* 105, 9457–9476.
- Starukhina, L. V. & Shkuratov, Y. G. Swirls on the Moon and Mercury: meteoroid swarm encounters as a formation mechanism. *Icarus* 167, 136–147 (2004).
- Garrick-Bethell, I., Head, III J. W. & Pieters, C. M. Spectral properties, magnetic fields, and dust transport at lunar swirls. *Icarus* 212, 480–492 (2011).
- Hood, L. L. & Williams, C. R. The lunar swirts. *Humas 212*, 400 402 (2011).
 Hood, L. L. & Williams, C. R. The lunar swirts.—distribution and possible origins. *Proc. Lunar Planet Sci. Conf.* 19Th, 99–113 (1989).
- Richmond, N. C. *et al.* Correlations between magnetic anomalies and surface geology antipodal to lunar impact basins. *J. Geophys. Res.* 110, E05011 (2005).
- 10. Blewett, D. T. *et al.* Lunar swirls: Examining crustal magnetic anomalies and space weathering trends. *J. Geophys. Res.* **116**, E02002 (2011).
- 11. Hapke, B. Space weathering from Mercury to the asteroid belt. J. Geophys. Res. 106, 10,039–10,074 (2001).
- Lin, R. P. et al. Lunar surface magnetic fields and their interaction with the solar wind: results from lunar prospector. Science 281, 1480–1484 (1998).
- 13. Kurata, M. et al. Mini-magnetosphere over the Reiner Gamma magnetic anomaly region on the Moon. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **32**, L24205 (2005).
- Kramer, G. Y. *et al.* Characterization of lunar swirls at Mare Ingenii: a model for space weathering at magnetic anomalies. *J. Geophys. Res.* 116, E04008 (2011).
- Kramer, G. Y. *et al.* M3 spectral analysis of lunar swirls and the link between optical maturation and surface hydroxyl formation at magnetic anomalies. *J. Geophys. Res.* 116, E00G18 (2011).
- Denevi, B. W. *et al.* Characterization of space weathering from lunar reconnaissance orbiter camera ultraviolet observations of the Moon. *J. Geophys. Res.* 119, 976–997 (2014).

(4)

ARTICLE

- 17. Greenhagen, B. T. *et al.* Global silicate mineralogy of the Moon from the Diviner lunar radiometer. *Science* **329**, 1507–1509 (2010).
- Logan, L. M., Hunt, G. R., Salisbury, J. W. & Balsamo, S. R. Compositional implications of Christiansen Frequency maximums for infrared remote sensing applications. J. Geophys. Res. 78, 4983–5003 (1973).
- Lucey, P. G., Paige, D. A., Greenhagen, B. T., Bandfield, J. L. & Glotch, T. D. Comparison of Diviner Christiansen feature position and visible albedo: composition and space weathering implications. *Lunar Planet Sci. XLI*, abstract 1600 (2010).
- Glotch, T. D. et al. Highly silicic compositions on the Moon. Science 329, 1510–1513 (2010).
- Bandfield, J. L. *et al.* Lunar surface rock abundance and regolith fines temperatures derived from LRO Diviner Radiometer data. *J. Geophys. Res.* 116, E00H02 (2011).
- 22. Vasavada, A. R. *et al.* Lunar equatorial surface temperatures and regolith properties from the Diviner lunar radiometer experiment. *J. Geophys. Res.* **117**, E00H18 (2012).
- 23. Lucey, P. G., Blewett, D. T. & Hawke, B. R. Imaging of lunar surface maturity. *J. Geophys. Res.* **105**, 20,377–20,386 (2000).
- Hemingway, D. & Garrick-Bethell, I. Magnetic field direction and lunar swirl morphology: insights from Airy and Reiner Gamma. J. Geophys. Res. 117, E10012 (2012).
- Pieters, C. M., Fischer, E. M., Rode, O. & Basu, A. Optical effects of space weathering: the role of the finest fraction. *J. Geophys. Res.* 98, 20817–20824 (1993).
- Taylor, L. A., Pieters, C. M., Keller, L. P., Morris, R. V. & McKay, D. S. Lunar mare soils: space weathering and the major effects of surface-correlated nanophase Fe. J. Geophys. Res. 106, 27,985–27,999 (2001).
- Arnold, J. A., Glotch, T. D., Thomas, I. R. & Bowles, N. E. Plagioclase-olivine mixtures in a simulated lunar environment. *Lunar Planet. Sci. XLIV*, abstract 2972 (2013).
- Hayne, P. et al. Thermophyscial properties of the lunar surface from Diviner observations. EGU Gen. Assembly, abstract EGU2013-10871-1 (2013).
- Helfenstein, P. & Shepard, M. K. Submillimeter-scale topography of the lunar regolith. *Icarus* 72, 342–357 (1999).
- 30. Rosenburg, M. A. *et al.* Global surface slopes and roughness of the Moon from the lunar orbiter laser altimeter. *J. Geophys. Res.* **116**, E02001 (2011).
- Hapke, B. Bidirectional reflectance spectroscopy III—correction for macroscopic roughness. *Icarus* 59, 41–59 (1984).
- Shkuratov, Y. G. *et al.* Interpreting photometry of regolith-like surfaces with different topographies: shadowing and multiple scattering. *Icarus* 173, 3–15 (2005).
- 33. Smith, B. G. Lunar surface roughness: shadowing and thermal emission. J. Geophys. Res. 72, 4059-4067 (1967).
- Johnson, P. E., Vogler, K. J. & Gardner, J. P. The effect of surface roughness on lunar thermal emission spectra. J. Geophys. Res. 98 (E11), 20825–20829 (1993).
- 35. Danilina, I. et al. Roughness effects on sub-pixel radiative temperature dispersion in a kinetically isothermal surface. In Proceedings of Second Recent Advances in Quantitative Remote Sensing (ed. Sobrino, J.) 13–18 (Publicacions de la Universitat de Valencia, 2006).

- Bandfield, J. L. & Edwards, C. S. Derivation of martian surface slope characteristics from directional thermal infrared radiometry. *Icarus* 193, 139–157 (2008).
- Bandfield, J. L. Effects of surface roughness and graybody emissivity on martian thermal infrared spectra. *Icarus* 202, 414–428 (2009).
- Hayne, P. O. et al. Diviner lunar radiometer observations of the LCROSS impact. Science 330, 477–479 (2010).
- Presley, M. & Christensen, P. R. Thermal conductivity measurements of particulate materials. 2. Results. J. Geophys. Res. 102, 6551–6566.
- 40. Neish, C. D. *et al.* The surficial nature of lunar swirls as revealed by the Mini-RF instrument. *Icarus* **215**, 186–196 (2011).
- 41. Pieters, C. M., Moriarty, D. P. & Garrick-Bethell, I. Atypical regolith processes hold the key to enigmatic lunar swirls. *Lunar Planet Sci. XLV*, abstract 1408 (2014).
- 42. Bamford, R. A. et al. Minimagnetospheres above the lunar surface and the formation of lunar swirls. Phys. Rev. Lett. 109, 081101 (2012).
- Allen, C. C., Greenhagen, B. T., Donaldson Hanna, K. L. & Paige, D. A. Analysis of lunar pyroclastic deposit FeO abundances by LRO Diviner. *J. Geophys. Res.* 117, E00H28 (2012).
- Greenhagen, B. T. *et al.* The Diviner lunar radiometer compositional data products: description and examples. *Lunar Planet Sci. XLII*, abstract 2679 (2011).

Acknowledgements

Funding for this work was provided by the Diviner Lunar Radiometer Experiment extended mission science investigation and the Remote, In Situ, and Synchrotron Studies (RIS⁴E) team of NASA's Solar System Research Virtual Institute (SSERVI). This is SSERVI publication number SSERVI-2014-158.

Author contributions

T.D.G. conceived of the study and performed the Diviner CF and temperature data analysis with the assistance of J.L.B., P.G.L. and B.T.G. P.O.H. supplied the roughness and thermal models discussed in the paper and R.R.G. and D.A.P. assisted with their interpretation. J.A.A. acquired the simulated lunar environment spectra of plagioclase/ olivine mixtures and provided interpretations. T.D.G. wrote the paper with the input of all the co-authors.

Additional information

Supplementary Information accompanies this paper at http://www.nature.com/ naturecommunications

Competing financial interests: The authors declare no competing financial interests.

Reprints and permission information is available online at http://npg.nature.com/ reprintsandpermissions/

How to cite this article: Glotch, T. D. *et al.* Formation of lunar swirls by magnetic field standoff of the solar wind. *Nat. Commun.* 6:6189 doi: 10.1038/ncomms7189 (2015).